UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,165	04/19/2006	Eric Thelen	DE 030365	1808
	7590 10/28/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		CHOKSHI, PINKAL R		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2425		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		10/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applic	ation No.	I A	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/576			THELEN ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	A	rt Unit				
			L CHOKSHI		425				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication					ldress			
Period for									
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN ONS OF THE MAIN ON THE MAIN ON THE MORE TO THE MORE THE MONTHS FROM THE MAIN OF THE MONTH SET OF THE	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. tory period will apply an I, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN be event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely ONTHS from the ABANDONED (3)	filed mailing date of this c 35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 Septembe	er 2008						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 September 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
′=	since this application is in condition fo	<i>'</i> —		tters, prose	cution as to the	e merits is			
•	losed in accordance with the practice		•	• •					
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)× C	• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.								
48	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌 C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) ⊠ C	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 C	claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) <u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or electio	n requirement.						
Applicatio	n Papers								
9) <u></u> ⊤I	ne specification is objected to by the l	Examiner.							
10) <u></u> ⊤I	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
Ī	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
•									
Attachment(s	s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Art Unit: 2425

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/25/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Kim does not disclose that the desired content descriptor is provided on the record medium and is read therefrom. Examiner disagrees with that characterization of the reference. Kim discloses (col.2, lines 49-51, 63-67) that the program title provided by the user to record the program is read and encoded at the recording device. Kim further discloses (col.5, lines 16-19) that the microcomputer unit in the recording device is used to process this information as represented in Fig. 1 (element 60). Furthermore, Applicant alleges that Kim does not disclose that the desired content descriptor is provided using one or more keywords. Examiner disagrees. Kim discloses (col.4, lines 45-56) that the user provides a program title by inputting a word or words of the title. Ohnuma discloses (¶0066 and ¶0067) that the user selects the desired program to record from the sample of broadcast program attributes given on the screen as represented in Fig. 8. Furthermore, Applicant alleges that Kim does not disclose scanning the content of one multimedia. Examiner disagrees. Kim discloses (col.8, lines 52-58) that the check is performed on the receiver device that stored broadcast program schedule data to detect the user inputted title data. Kim further discloses (col.2, lines 51-55) that the program title data inputted by user are detected and matched with broadcast program data received in the device. Kim further discloses (col.6, lines 10-13) that the broadcast program schedule is transmitted from the head-end to the receiver. See the rejection below.

Art Unit: 2425

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 7-9, 12, 13, 16, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,526,130 to Kim et al. (hereafter referenced as Kim).

Regarding **claim 1**, "a method for recording content on a record medium (2) that contains a desired content descriptor (3)" reads on the video cassette recorder that records a broadcast program based on a program title (abstract and col.1, lines 9-16) disclosed by Kim and represented in Fig. 1.

As to "method comprising the steps of: reading said desired content descriptor (3) from said record medium (2)" Kim discloses (col.2, lines 49-51) that the program title provided by user to record the program is read and encoded at the recording device.

As to "scanning the content (10, 12) of at least one multimedia source (6, 7) for desired content that matches said desired content descriptor (3)" Kim discloses (col.2, lines 51-55) that the program title data inputted by user are detected and matched with broadcast program data received in the device.

Art Unit: 2425

As to "recording said desired content on said record medium (3)" Kim discloses (col.2, lines 60-62) that the recording device records matching broadcast program.

Regarding **claim 7**, "the method, wherein said record medium (2) is suited for electric and/or magnetic and/or optic recording of content" Kim discloses (abstract) that the video cassette recorder is used to record program.

Regarding **claim 8**, "the method, wherein said desired content descriptor (3) is a keyword or a list of keywords" Kim discloses (col.4, lines 45-56) that the user provides a program title by inputting word or words.

Regarding **claim 9**, "the method, wherein said desired content descriptor (3) obeys a pre-defined content description format" Kim discloses (col.5, lines 16-19; col.6, lines 22-27) that the broadcast schedule recognition data identifies predefined program title used to distinguish desired program title form the other program titles.

Regarding **claim 12**, "the method, wherein said desired content descriptor (3) is defined by the user of said method" Kim discloses (col.4, lines 46-47) that the user provides a program title via data input device.

Art Unit: 2425

Regarding **claim 13**, "the method, wherein said content (10, 12) from at least one multimedia source (6, 7) comprises image and/or audio and/or text information" Kim discloses (col.4, lines 37-38; col.6, lines 10-13) that the image signal, transmitted from broadcast station, is received through the tuner of receiving device as represented in Fig. 1 (element 20).

Page 5

Regarding **claim 16**, The method according to claim 13, wherein said step of scanning the content (10, 12) of said at least one multimedia source (6, 7) for said desired content comprises image and/or audio and/or word processing" Kim discloses (col.2, lines 51-55; col.4, lines 37-38) that the image signal, transmitted from broadcast station, is received through the tuner of receiving device where the program titles are scanned and detected to match with user inputted program title.

Regarding **claim 19**, "a device (1) for recording content (10, 12) on a record medium (2) that contains a desired content descriptor (3)" reads on the video cassette recorder that records a broadcast program based on a program title (abstract and col.1, lines 9-16) disclosed by Kim and represented in Fig. 1.

As to "device comprising: means (16) for reading said desired content descriptor (3) from said record medium (2)" Kim discloses (col.2, lines 49-51) that the program title provided by user to record the program is read and encoded at the recording device.

Art Unit: 2425

As to "means (13, 15) for scanning the content (10, 12) of at least one multimedia source (6, 7) for desired content that matches said desired content descriptor (3)" Kim discloses (col.2, lines 51-55) that the program title data inputted by user are detected and matched with broadcast program data received in the device.

As to "means (18) for recording said desired content on said record medium (2)" Kim discloses (col.2, lines 60-62) that the recording device records matching broadcast program.

Regarding **claim 20**, "the device (1), wherein said means for scanning (13, 15) the content (10, 12) of said at least one multimedia source (6, 7) for said desired content comprises means (13) for image and/or audio and/or word processing" Kim discloses (col.4, lines 37-38; col.6, lines 10-13) that the image signal, transmitted from broadcast station, is received through the tuner of receiving device as represented in Fig. 1 (element 20).

Regarding **claim 21**, "a record medium (2) comprising a desired content descriptor (3)" reads on the video cassette recorder that records a broadcast program based on a program title (abstract and col.1, lines 9-16) disclosed by Kim and represented in Fig. 1.

As to "means for reading said desired content descriptor (3) from said record medium (2) to trigger the scanning of content (10, 12) of at least one

Art Unit: 2425

multimedia source (6, 7)" Kim discloses (col.2, lines 49-51) that the program title provided by user to record the program is read and encoded at the recording device. Kim further discloses (col.2, lines 51-55) that the program title data inputted by user are detected and matched with broadcast program data received in the device.

Page 7

As to "for desired content that matches said desired content descriptor (3) and that is recorded on said record medium (2)" Kim discloses (col.2, lines 60-62) that the recording device records matching broadcast program.

Regarding **claim 22**, "the record medium (2), wherein said record medium (2) is suited for electric and/or magnetic and/or optic recording of content" "Kim discloses (abstract) that the video cassette recorder is used to record program.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-6, 11, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al in view of US PG Pub 2002/0174430 to Ellis et al (hereafter referenced as Ellis).

Art Unit: 2425

Regarding **claim 2**, Kim meets all the limitations of the claim except "the method according to claim 1, wherein said desired content descriptor (3) is already contained in a blank of said record medium (2)." However, Ellis discloses (¶0175) that the personalization information for user is automatically stored on the device based on user profiles, preferences, viewing history, or recording history. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to automatically store program title information as taught by Ellis in order to automatically record programs that match the personalization program information without user entering program title information (¶0175).

Page 8

Regarding **claim 3**, "the method, wherein said desired content descriptor (3) is not already contained in a blank of said record medium (2)" Ellis discloses (¶0170 and ¶0175) that the system stores personalization information based on user profile on the empty/blank storage device since it was not stored previously on the storage unit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to automatically store program title information as taught by Ellis in order to automatically record programs that match the personalization program information without user entering program title information (¶0175).

Art Unit: 2425

Regarding **claim 4**, "the method, wherein said desired content descriptor (3) contained in said record medium (2) cannot be further altered or augmented" Ellis discloses (¶0184) that the edit button may or may not provide user with the ability to edit program information as represented in Fig. 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to automatically store program title information as taught by Ellis in order to automatically record programs that match the personalization program information without user entering program title information (¶0175).

Regarding **claim 5**, "the method, wherein said desired content descriptor (3) contained in said record medium (2) can be further altered and augmented" Ellis discloses (¶0184) that the edit button provides user with the ability to edit program information as represented in Fig. 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to automatically store program title information as taught by Ellis in order to automatically record programs that match the personalization program information without user entering program title information (¶0175).

Regarding **claim 6**, "the method, wherein said desired content descriptor (3) can be transferred from said record medium (2) to a record medium (2) of the same type or to a record medium (2) of a different type" Ellis discloses (¶0172) that the audio/video signals for programs are transferred between PVR and VCR

Art Unit: 2425

as represented in Fig. 2A (elements 208, 210). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to transfer program information between recording mediums as taught by Ellis in order to simplify the use of such systems while providing additional functionality to television viewers (¶0009).

Regarding **claim 11**, "the method, wherein said desired content descriptor (3) is a pre-defined content descriptor" Ellis discloses (¶0175) that the personalization information for program titles are automatically stored based on user profiles, preferences, viewing history, or recording history of the user. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to automatically store program title information as taught by Ellis in order to automatically record programs that match the personalization program information without user entering program title information (¶0175).

Regarding **claim 14**, "the method, wherein said at least one multimedia source (6, 7) is a receiver (6) for television and/or radio programs" Ellis discloses (¶0171) that the broadcast signals are transmitted from a set-top box to recording equipment as represented in Fig. 2A (elements 202, 204). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to receive signals from receiver as taught by Ellis in order to record or view programs that match with user personalization program information.

Art Unit: 2425

Regarding **claim 15**, "the method, wherein said at least one multimedia source (6, 7) is a device (7) that is connected to a computer network, in particular to the internet" Ellis discloses (¶0163) that the set-top box receives data from wide area network such as Internet as represented in Fig. 1 (elements 118, 122). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to receive data using wide area computer network as taught by Ellis in order to provide additional data using link(s) to Internet web pages (¶0421).

Page 11

6. Claims 10, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al in view of US PG Pub 2006/0072354 to Ohnuma et al (hereafter referenced as Ohnuma).

Regarding **claim 10**, "the method wherein said desired content descriptor (3) comprises multimedia samples" Kim discloses (col.4, lines 52-56) that the program titles inputted by user are for the desired broadcast program. Kim meets all the limitations of the claim except "descriptor comprises multimedia samples." However, Ohnuma discloses (¶0066 and ¶0067) that the user selects the desired program to record from the sample of broadcast program attributes given on the screen as represented in Fig. 8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to use multimedia sample to record the desired program as taught by Ohnuma in order

Art Unit: 2425

to record the desired program in the recording medium when viewers can not remember program name.

Regarding claim 17, Kim meets all the limitation of the claim except "the method, wherein said step of scanning the content (10, 12) of said at least one multimedia source (6, 7) for said desired content is performed dynamically depending on the available amount of content (10, 12) and/or on the already recorded content." However, Ohnuma discloses (¶0123) that upon the instruction for reproduction of a program from user, viewing control application scans out programs recorded in the storage and reproduced the program for the user. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to scan the recorded program content on the device as taught by Ohnuma in order to reproduce the instructed program from the recording medium quicker than scanning other devices to reproduce a desire program.

Regarding **claim 18**, "a machine-readable product directly loadable into the internal memory of a digital computer, comprising code portions for performing the method steps when said product is run on a computer" Ohnuma discloses (¶0125 and ¶0126) that a program including series of processing step is installed in a computer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to install the program on

Art Unit: 2425

computer readable medium as taught by Ohnuma so the user without TV equipments can use computer device to run the above operation.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PINKAL CHOKSHI whose telephone number is (571) 270-3317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 - 5 pm (Alt. Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Pendleton can be reached on 571-272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2425

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2425

/Brian T. Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425